

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Un

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,666	12/05/2005	Takao Shibata	Q91463	7227
23373 7590 12/10/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAMINER	
			MULLIS, JEFFREY C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/559,666	SHIBATA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jeffrey C. Mullis	1796				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ma	arch 2006					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 12-5-05;3-16-06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number:

10/559,666 Art Unit: 1796

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is uclear what is intended by a "monomer (B)" in that the recited monmer is in fact recited to be two different materials and appears to be possibly a mixture rather than a single monomer. Furthermore two "unsaturated bonds" attached to a monomer could not be said to be "intermolecular". Although "intermolecularly" may be intended this is not clear. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maeda (JP 07-331025).

Patentees disclose a composition which may contain as much as 99 % rubber modified styrenic resin and the remainder polyorganosiloxane based thermoplastic resin (see the Patent Abstracts of Japan abstract). The polysiloxane contains an agent "II" (as in applicants graft linking agent at a level of 0-1)% or preferably 0.5-5% (paragraph 4). Twenty percent (but up to 200%) vinyl monomer may be polymerized in the polyorganosiloxane containing the graft liker in paragraph 5.

No examples exist in which all of applicants limitations are present I combination but to arrive at applicants composition by selecting from the various choices of the patent would have been obvious to a practitioner having an ordinary skill in the art at the time

Application/Control Number:

10/559,666

Art Unit: 1796

Page 3

of the invention in the expectation of adequate results basement any showing of surprising or unexpected results.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyatake et al. (US 2003/0092819).

Patentees disclose a process in which polymerization of vinyl monomers (as in applicants vinyl monomer ©) is conducted in the presence of a silicone latex (ie grafted) with 5-40 parts monomer (paragraph 80). The silicone latex may contain 0-40% graftlinker or preferably 0.5-20% (paragraph 41).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeffrey C. Mullis at telephone number 571 272 1075.

JCM

11-27-07

Jeffrey C. Mullis Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1796